1	KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP	
2	Daniel Schimmel ( <i>Pro Hac Vice Pending</i> ) 101 Park Ave, 27 <sup>th</sup> Floor	
3	New York, NY 10178 Telephone: (212) 808-7800	
4	Facsimile: (212) 808-7897 dschimmel@kelleydrye.com	
5	KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP	
6	Lee S. Brenner (State Bar No. 180235) 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard	
7	Twenty-Third Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067-4008	
8	Telephone: (310) 712-6100 Facsimile: (310) 712-6199	
9	lbrenner@kelleydrye.com	
10	Attorneys for Defendants Orange S.A., Anne Benrikhi, Dimitri Delmas, Olivier	
11	Godiniaux, Guillaume Guimond, Fabrice Petesch, and Jacques Viel	
12	UNITED STATES DI	STRICT COURT
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CAI	LIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO
14		Case No. 3:14-cv-03985 JD
15	TELESOCIAL INC.,	Assigned to Hon. James Donato
16	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF DANIEL
17	v. ORANGE S.A., a French Corporation;	SCHIMMEL
18	ANNE BENRIKHI, an individual;	[Notice of Motion and Motion; Declaration of George Bermann;
19	DIMITRI DELMAS, an individual; ANN DORNIER, an individual; OLIVIER	Declaration of Catherine Le Drogo; Request for Judicial Notice and
20	GODINIAUX, an individual;	[Proposed] Order Filed Concurrently Herewith]
21	GUILLAUME GUIMOND, an individual; FABRICE PETESCH, an individual; and	Date: January 28, 2015
22	JACQUES VIEL, an individual.	Time: 9:30 a.m. Room: 11
23	Da Can dant	Action Filed: September 2, 2014
24	Defendant.	Trial Date: None Set
25	<u></u>	
26		
27		
00	II	

DECLARATION OF DANIEL SCHIMMEL

LA01\BrenL\520595.1

///

I, Daniel Schimmel, declare as follows:

- 1. I am a partner at the law firm of Kelley Drye & Warren LLP, counsel for defendant Orange S.A. ("Orange"). I submit this declaration in support of Orange's Motion to Dismiss Telesocial Inc.'s ("TS") Complaint on the grounds of *forum non conveniens* and improper venue.
- 2. In a decision, dated November 27, 2014, the Paris Commercial Court denied TS's second application seeking pre-action disclosure of documents. TS submitted its first application for the production of these documents on June 4, 2013. The Paris Commercial Court issued an order directing that the documents be seized (the "Production Order"). Orange and TS subsequently litigated before the Paris Commercial Court the subject of whether these documents should be turned over to TS. Pursuant to orders of the Paris Commercial Court, a number of them were produced to TS, and the balance was kept in the custody of a judicial officer in Paris. The Paris Commercial Court ruled that the judge in charge of the merits of TS's case in Paris would decide whether these documents should be produced.
- 3. On July 1, 2014, the Paris Court of Appeal reversed the Production Order. In its order denying TS's second application for pre-action disclosure, dated November 27, 2014, the Paris Commercial Court ruled that the recent decision of the Paris Court of Appeal precludes TS's second application.
- 4. It is my understanding that, beginning in 2013, both Orange and TS initiated emergency proceedings in the Paris Commercial Court. These proceedings sought provisional or urgent relief. Even though these proceedings do not constitute actions on the merits, the parties have been engaged in active litigation in Paris, and TS has represented to the Paris Commercial Court that it is ///

 "about to file" its action on the merits in Paris. See Ex.. 4, RJN, ¶ 16.¹ TS has similarly represented to the Paris Court of Appeal that "it is currently preparing" a complaint on the merits against Orange in Paris. See RJN, Ex. 2 ¶ 95. I understand that there are at this time two appeals pending before the Paris Court of Appeal and an appeal pending before the French Supreme Court in connection with these proceedings, and that the parties have submitted numerous briefs to the Paris courts.

5. On November 13, 2014, TS's counsel sent a broad document preservation letter to counsel for the Defendants, identifying 25 custodians. TS's letter is attached hereto as Exhibit A. To my knowledge, only one of them is located in the U.S., and this individual only had a limited involvement with this matter, in or about February 2012, introducing a temporary consultant working for TS to an Orange employee in Paris.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on December 1, 2014, in Paris, France.

Daniel Schimmel

<sup>&</sup>quot;RJN" references the Request for Judicial Notice that was filed concurrently with Orange's and the individual defendants' Motion to Dismiss.